

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/064,809	08/20/2002		Heinz Keller	RD 02027	7769	
23413	7590	07/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CANTOR C		CORBIN, A	CORBIN, ARTHUR L			
55 GRIFFIN I BLOOMFIEL			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	ŕ			1761		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	101064, 809	Group Art Unit		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit		
	ARTHURL.	CR3, P 1761		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet be	eneath the correspondence addr	esș—	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILI	NG DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory min expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to	imum of thirty (30) days will be considen om the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	ed timely. on. 3).	
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-20-	cz 4-14-0	4	·	
☐ This action is FINAL.		•		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, pro s C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is clos	ed in	
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) (P		is/are pending in the applica	_ is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
Gaim(s) [P	is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)		•	election	
		requirement		
Application Papers		□ disapproved		
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved	□ disappioved.		
••		□ disappioved.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		⊔ чізарріочец.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objecte		⊔ ш зарргоvец .		
 ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		⊔ ш зар рго че ц.		
 ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	d to by the Examiner			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	d to by the Examiner			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	d to by the Examiner			
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	d to by the Examiner der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) seived.	–(d).		
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) derived. derived in Application N	–(d).		
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	d to by the Examiner der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) eived. eived in Application Nave been received	–(d). o		
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	d to by the Examiner der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) elived. elived in Application Nave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.26	–(d). o		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	d to by the Examiner der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) elived. elived in Application Nave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.26	–(d). o	•	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) seived. seived in Application Nave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	–(d). o	•	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) seived. seived in Application Nave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	-(d). o (a)) aterview Summary, PTO-413	n. PT()_15/	
□ The drawing(s) filed on	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) seived. seived in Application Nonave been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	–(d). o (a))		

Application/Control Number: 10/064,809

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egbert et al (WO 02/056,705, pages 2, 5, 7, 9-11, 13 and Example 6).

Egbert et al discloses treating meat products with a brine solution including carrageenan and each of applicants other claimed components. Finding the optimum amount of each component would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

3. Claims 2, 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egbert et al as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11 and 13-19 above, and further in view of Kamada et al.

It would have been obvious to use kappa carrageenan as the carrageenan in Egbert et al since kappa carrageenan is conventionally used in brine compositions to treat meat, as evidenced by Kamada et al (columns 2, 3, 6 and 7).

4. Claims 1-19 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamada et al.

Kamada et al discloses treating meat products with a brine composition including kappa carrageenan and each of applicant's other claimed components. Finding the

Application/Control Number: 10/064,809

Art Unit: 1761

optimum amount of each component would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gagel et al discloses treating meat with a composition including carrageenan, salt, phosphate and a second hydrocolloid and then coating the meat with isolated soy protein and starch. Henson et al shows controlling PSE condition in meat products by treating them with a phosphate and salt containing composition.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday--Friday from 10:30 to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,809

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. Corbin/dh July 26, 2004